

1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.

Candi-Lee:Weeks
c/o 175 Hutton Ranch rd #103-231,
Kalispell Montana near [59901]

U.S. District Court District of Montana
201 E Broadway St,
Missoula Montana 59802

Attn: (Defendants, Court)
NOTICE TO THE AGENT IS NOTICE TO THE PRINCIPAL, NOTICE TO THE PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO THE AGENT.

"Candi-Lee:Weeks")	
)	COUNTERPLAINTIFF,) CASE NO. <u>9:16-cv-00161-DLC-JCL</u>
v.)	In RE: <u>TK162305</u>
)	
"CITY OF WHITEFISH et al.")	
)	COUNTERDEFENDANT) ORDER Denying Motion(s)
)) Document 24 Filed 04/17/17
)) Document 10 Filed 03/10/17
)	
)	

I, Candi-Lee:Weeks A living Man/Woman, and one of the people, in this court of record 28 U.S. Code § 132 (a) **Claim & Declare:**

As seen and stated in "04072017-MTVOC-COR-112 Court of Record" The Plaintiff or CounterPlaintiff is the court, and the Tribunal, and is independent of the Magistrate, and the Tribunal sits in the seat of power of the Judge.

Although the Tribunal does not owe the Defendants an explanation, The Tribunal has looked over Document 24 Filed 04-17-17, and has concluded the following, in the interpretation and opinion of the Tribunal:

1. The CounterPlaintiff's 03202017-MTVOC-CLW-101 Counterclaim Document 23-1 and attachments to it and to 03202017-MTVOC-CLW-001 CounterMotion Document 23 Filed 04/10/17 are significantly different, do comply with a court of record,



1.
2. and do supply at least probable cause. The Tribunal also accepts
3. and agrees with the Case Law in 03202017-MTVOC-CLW-101
4. Counterclaim Document 23-1. Furthermore it is irrelevant that
5. Candi-Lee:Weeks has filed a different CounterClaim, because it is
6. not up to the Defendants to decide for the Tribunal if her case
7. is valid or not; and the tribunal finds her case to be valid.

8. **2.** The Tribunal also finds that any employee of the
9. Government is made vulnerable to CounterClaim once they have
10. brought an action against someone such as Candi-Lee:Weeks.
11. Furthermore the Tribunal does not, and did not grant the
12. Defendants the authority to make a presentment, or to present
13. their own case law. Only the CounterPlaintiff may present case
14. law to the court. Next the court rulings mentioned, do not have
15. any text of the body, and so no assumption can be made about what
16. the rulings say. In any case, any law, rulings, or other
17. statements that claim that certain Government Employees are above
18. the law, exempt from the law, or are immune, are denied, and will
19. not be accepted as law in this case.

20. **3.** The Tribunal finds that Chuck Stearns and John Muhlged
21. are potential parties to the crimes, because John Muhlged is the
22. Chief Executive Officer of the City of Whitefish, and Chuck
23. Stearns is the City Manager "Pursuant to the Whitefish City
24. Charter, the City Manager is appointed by the City Council to
25. carry out Council policies and to serve as *chief executive*
26. *officer of the City.*" as both of these parties are Chief
27. Executive Officers of the City of Whitefish, they share
responsibility in the actions of the City of Whitefish, the
Whitefish police Department, and any other officers or employees
of the City of Whitefish, and it's policies and procedures.

4. The claims against Officers Conway, Erickson, Garner, and
Kelch, along with all other agents, employees, or officers of the
City of Whitefish, shall not be dismissed. The Counter



1.
2. Plaintiff's claims are clear, and agree with the testimony and
3. transcriptions seen so far, as well as the case law. The named
4. Defendants did state that they knew Candi-Lee:Weeks was traveling
5. and not driving, and they are obligated to know the laws and
6. rules of Courts of Record, as well as the rights of the people,
7. before taking any kind of office, appointed or elected. Further
8. more, Candi-Lee:Week's rights are unalienable as one of the
9. people, and as one of the people, she may claim to have any God
10. given right; unless that alleged right causes injury to another
11. or their property. No evidence or statement has been brought
12. before the Tribunal to suggest that the actions of Candi-
13. Lee:Weeks caused any injury to any other people or their
14. property. STATE, CITY, other GOVERNMENT entities, or persons, can
15. not be an injured party. There must be a physical human being,
16. that is one of the people, for a victim to exist. Therefore
17. according to the Police Report, Transcriptions, and the
18. Testimony, it can be proven that the right to travel, claimed by
19. Candi-Lee:Weeks, and verified by the US Supreme Court, and other
20. courts, has been violated willfully, knowingly, and
21. intelligently.

22. **5. Furthermore the Defendants and or the Defendants**
23. **Attorneys claimed that right to travel does not seem to exist.**
24. **This appears to be a form of perjury, or at the very least**
25. **dishonesty. Further attempts by the Defendants to submit Case**
26. **Law, not approved by the CounterPlaintiff to the Tribunal, may be**
27. **considered contempt of Court and a breach of a Court of Record.**
Also failure to recognize the authority of the Tribunal may
result in contempt of court being issued.

Therefore THE COURT RESPECTFULLY SO ORDERS THAT:

The Defendant's motions for dismissal, including but not
limited to Document 24 Filed 04-17-17 & Document 10 Filed 03-10-

ORDER Denying Motion(s) - U.S. District Court District of Montana

Item # 04072017-O-CLW-122

Page 3 of 5

Signed *CJW*

Date *4-18-2017*



17 are hereby denied, and the case shall continue.

The following documents are are incorporated by reference, as being fully stated herein, and shall be considered case law, as these are the rules for courts of record:

1. 04072017-COR-CLW-112 Court of Record Case Law
2. 04072017-IOMC-CLW-113 Interpretation of Magna Carta and Confirmatio Cartarum
3. 04072017-CC-CLW-114 CONFIRMATIO CARTARUM
4. 04072017-MC-CLW-115 MAGNA CARTA
5. 04072017-S-CLW-116 SOVEREIGNTY OF THE PEOPLE
6. 04072017-RD-CLW-117 REPUBLIC vs. DEMOCRACY
7. 03202017-MTVOC-CLW-101 Counterclaim Case Law Section



- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.

I have personal knowledge of the foregoing facts and am competent to testify as to the truth of these facts if called as a witness. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing facts are true and correct. So Help Me God. May Jesus bless all who read this.


I reserve all rights to make amends to this document to make it more clear, precise, and on point if needed.

Executed without the Federal United States (DATE) April 18, 2017

Under necessity, I do not consent to any matters against myself or CANDI LEE WEEKS, other than that I, a Man may be compensated, that Justice may be restored as I have requested, & the discharge of any liability that you may allege I have herein.

Sincerely,

All Rights Reserved And Waiving None



Tribunal Candi-Lee:Weeks

'Errors and Omissions are Consistent with Intent'

